Can a place of living of elementary school students determine their health habit?
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ABSTRACT

Aim To determine dietary habits of elementary school students in relation to a place of living and socio-economic status of the family.

Methods A prospective study conducted in the Primary Health Center Zenica involved five family medicine teams in urban and five in rural settlement during 2015. Elementary school students aged 10-16 were interviewed by random selection using a questionnaire on the socio-economic status of parents and nutritional habits of adolescents.

Results The survey involved 199 respondents, 103 from rural and 96 from urban area. There were significantly more pupils from employed parents who consumed non-carbonated drinks. Students from urban areas more likely consumed fruit every day than children from rural areas. More than half of the respondents did not or rarely consumed vegetables, in this case the village pupils, who consumed much less milk. It would be expected that rural students were more likely to consume fruits, vegetables and milk due to easier access to these foods in the countryside, but the results of this research did not confirm this assumption.

Conclusion Changes in traditional family functioning (lower income, unemployment) could be linked with lifestyle changes (low consumption of fruits and vegetables, low consumption of milk both in rural and urban areas, consumption of carbonated drinks), especially in families in rural areas.
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INTRODUCTION

Elementary school students (10-16 years of age) often experience eating disorders or an irregular attitude towards food – too little vegetables, fruits and dairy products, too much fast food and snacks and meals at irregular intervals (1). Students of this age group more often consume food outside the house due to lack of time, the dynamics of life and the absence of parents for work (2). Meals that are energy-rich in composition but poor in protective substances, are consumed more and more frequently, so one quarter to one third of energy is generated by feeding snacks (2). An adequate intake of energy and nutrients during childhood and adolescence will not only reduce the risk of developing current health problems (caries, anaemia, growth disorders, obesity), but it will also delay or prevent the onset of chronic illnesses in adulthood (cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, stroke, some forms of malignant diseases, diabetes, osteoporosis) (3).

The most traditional approach about inequities in health is in relation to the individual economic situation (4). In young people (11 to 15 years of age) the role of socioeconomic factors in health is not so clear; some studies have demonstrated the link between a socio-economic status and health outcomes and behaviour (4), some of them showed the protective role of lower socioeconomic status in relation to higher ones (5), while in a series of studies the correlation between socioeconomic status and youth health has not been established (6).

A study conducted in England showed that young people, 11-15 years of age, with a weak feeling of emotional connection with the family and low involvement in the neighbourhood were almost twice as likely to report poor health and low consumption of fruit and vegetables (5). Children from a single-parent family are not only with increased possibility for risky behaviour but also it is more likely their families are poorer, which can additionally affect health and a good subjective feeling in life (5). However, circumstances such as unemployment, time-limiting situations with children (night work, additional jobs to improve income) make even intact families vulnerable and risky for child development (4). In Moldova, only six of 10 respondents indicated that they ate breakfast every weekday and every 10th skipped breakfast (6). In Croatia, most elementary school students (11-15 years of age) do not eat breakfast, fruits and vegetables according to the guidelines for proper nutrition (4).

Looking at the social environment, young people (11-15 years of age) have better relationships with the closest persons and a good level of communication with their parents (7). Young people are the most suitable population group for the adoption of bad eating habits because, due to the lack of time and employment of parents, they increasingly consume food outside the family home, most often as a “fast food” meal (8-10).

Reports about eating habits in Bosnia and Herzegovina (B&H) are scarce. In a survey conducted in Travnik (B&H), young people (11-14 years of age) in a rural area consume fruit every day more frequently than those in the city (11).

The aim of this research was to determine nutritional habits of elementary school students 10-16 years of age (young people) in relation to the place of living and socio-economic status of the family. This research will primarily serve for better planning of preventive and promotional public health activities as well as family medicine employees in their daily work.

EXAMINEES AND METHODS

Examinees and study design

This prospective study conducted in the Primary Health Centre of Zenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina, involved five family medicine teams in urban and five in rural settlements during 2015. Family medicine teams were randomly selected. The examinees involved in the study were primary school students aged 10-16 years old, who were checked in a family medicine clinic for any reason.

Consent for participation in the study was given by the Ethics Committee of the Primary Health Care of Zenica. Oral approvals were obtained from the students’ parents, who attended the interview too.

Methods

The questionnaire was created for this research. The questions were clear, unambiguous and precisely formulated. In the first part of the questionnaire, a nurse in the family medicine clinic received answers from the students through an interview (gender, age, socio-economic status). The second part of the questionnaire was filled out
by students independently (knowledge, attitudes and behaviour). The questionnaire was supposed to determine socio-economic conditions in which the adolescents lived (place of residence, employment of parents, family status), which may contribute to knowledge, attitudes and dietary habits of adolescents (non-carbonated and carbonated drinks, fruit, vegetables, milk, breakfast).

**Statistical analysis**

For the analysis of the results, methods of descriptive statistics, χ² test and Student t-test were used. Statistical significance was set up for p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

The survey involved 199 students, 103 (51.8%) from rural and 96 (48.2%) from urban area. The number of female students, who visited the physician in the rural area, was much higher, 66 (62.3%) (p<0.05). Average age of the students in rural and urban area was 13.6 and 13.7 years of age, respectively.

No statistically significant difference was found in the number of students living with both parents, with one parent, or with a guardian (without biological parents). A total of 92 (89.3%) students in the rural area and 88 (91.6%) in the urban area lived with both parents, nine (8.7%) students in the rural area and 88 (91.6%) in the urban area lived with one parent, and two (1.9%) students in the rural area with guardians (without biological parents) (p>0.05).

The parents of 59 (57.3%) students in the rural area and 84 (87.5%) in the urban area were employed, 35 (33.98%) in the rural area and 12 (12.5%) in the urban area were unemployed, four (3.8%) in the rural area were pensioners, and five (4.85%) students in the rural area had parents who were also students themselves (p<0.05).

Of the total of 199 students, 168 (84.4%) regularly eat breakfast in the morning: 81 (48.2%) in rural and 87 (51.7%) in urban area (p=0.05) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of living</th>
<th>0 days</th>
<th>1 day</th>
<th>2 days</th>
<th>3 days</th>
<th>4 days</th>
<th>5 days</th>
<th>6 days</th>
<th>7 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural (75)</td>
<td>(66.6)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(75)</td>
<td>(66.6)</td>
<td>(57.1)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(48.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (25)</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>(42.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(51.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of 124 (73.8%) students whose parents are employed have breakfast every day, as opposed to 38 (22.6%) students whose parents were unemployed (p<0.05). Occasional breakfast consumption during a week was similar in both groups (Table 2).

**Table 1. Consumption of breakfast during a week in relation to the place of living**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working status of parents</th>
<th>0 days</th>
<th>1 day</th>
<th>2 days</th>
<th>3 days</th>
<th>4 days</th>
<th>5 days</th>
<th>6 days</th>
<th>7 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employed (25)</td>
<td>(66.6)</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>(75)</td>
<td>(66.6)</td>
<td>(85.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(73.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed (50)</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>(14.2)</td>
<td>(22.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensioner (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student (25)</td>
<td>(33.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Consumption of breakfast during a week in relation to the working status of parents**

**Table 3. Student food habits in relation to the place of living**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Place of living</th>
<th>Not in the last 7 days</th>
<th>1-3 times in the last 7 days</th>
<th>4-6 times in the last 7 days</th>
<th>Once daily</th>
<th>Twice daily</th>
<th>Three times a day</th>
<th>4 and more times a day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fruit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>17 (56.6)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>25 (34.2)</td>
<td>25 (35.5)</td>
<td>10 (37.9)</td>
<td>10 (42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>22 (73.3)</td>
<td>73 (100)</td>
<td>45 (100)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vegetables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (31.6)</td>
<td>30 (60)</td>
<td>22 (59.5)</td>
<td>27 (39.1)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 (68.4)</td>
<td>20 (40)</td>
<td>15 (45.5)</td>
<td>42 (60.9)</td>
<td>3 (17.6)</td>
<td>2 (17.6)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>69 (100)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-carbonated drinks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 (47.9)</td>
<td>31 (59.6)</td>
<td>16 (55.2)</td>
<td>16 (41)</td>
<td>12 (66.6)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 (52.1)</td>
<td>21 (40.4)</td>
<td>13 (44.8)</td>
<td>23 (59)</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
<td>52 (100)</td>
<td>29 (90)</td>
<td>39 (100)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbonated drinks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>40 (44.5)</td>
<td>29 (54.7)</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>14 (58.3)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>5 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (55.5)</td>
<td>24 (45.3)</td>
<td>5 (85.3)</td>
<td>10 (41.7)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td>90 (100)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
<td>24 (100)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Milk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 (59.5)</td>
<td>37 (66)</td>
<td>26 (72.2)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 (40.5)</td>
<td>19 (34)</td>
<td>10 (27.8)</td>
<td>39 (75)</td>
<td>10 (77)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>2 (66.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>36 (100)</td>
<td>36 (100)</td>
<td>52 (100)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of the total of 199 students, 72.4% consumed fruits daily one or more times: 74 (77.1%) in urban and 70 (68%) in rural areas (p<0.05); 53.3% eat vegetables irregularly or never: 48 (50%) in urban and 58 (56.3%) in rural area; 93 (46.7%) consumed vegetables daily once or more times: 48 (50%) in urban and 45 (43.7%) in rural area (p<0.05). A total of 48 (24.1%) students did not consume non-carbonated drinks: 25 (26%) in urban and 23 (22.3%) in rural areas (p>0.05); 43 (21.6%) consumed carbonated beverages daily once or more times: 17 (17.7%) in urban and 26 (25.2%) in rural areas (p>0.05).; 129 (64.8%) did not eat milk regularly: 44 (45.8%) in urban and 85 (82.5%) in rural areas (p<0.05) (Table 3).

It was found that there was no statistically significant difference in the consumption of non-carbonated drinks and in milk consumption relative to the family status (whether the adolescents live with two parents, one parent, or a guardian) (p>0.05), but a statistically significant difference was found in the consumption of carbonated drinks (p<0.05) (Table 4).

By analysing the consumption of non-carbonated drinks in relation to the working status of the parents, it was statistically significant that more frequently students consumed drinks regularly if their parents were employed, 60 (30.2%) (p<0.05). By analysing the regular consumption of carbonated drinks, it was found that there was no statistically significant difference in relation to the working status of the parents: 30 (15.1%) adolescents with employed parents and 9 (4.5%) with unemployed parents (p>0.05). By analysing milk consumption, there was a statistically significant difference in relation to the working status of parents: 58 (29.1%) students with employed and eight (4%) with unemployed parents consumed milk (p<0.05) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

This survey involved 199 respondents from the entire area of the City of Zenica (110,663 inhabitants).
tants). The highest percentage of students both in urban and in rural areas lived with both parents. Parents’ employment was more frequent in students from the urban area.

In this research it was found that 27.7% of adolescents consumed fruit rarely or never, without major differences between urban and rural areas. The survey conducted in Travnik (Bosnia and Herzegovina) in 2013 showed that many more students (11 to 14 years of age), who consumed fruits were from rural area (11). In a research conducted in 2015 in Croatia a total of 19.4% of students (11 to 15 years of age) declared that their family consumed fruits daily, and 17.9% rarely (12). It was a worrying fact that 13.4% of adolescents stated their family did not consume fruit because it was too expensive (12). In Croatia in 2014, at the age of 15, only a quarter of adolescents eat fruits daily (7), and in 2010, 66% of students did not eat fruit every day (4). A study conducted in Sicily (Italy) showed that higher parental education, occupation, and rural environment were positively associated with students’ (12 to 14 years of age) daily consumption of fruits (13). In Poland, it was shown that between rural and urban students (15 to 17 years of age) no difference was observed in frequency of fresh fruit consumption (14). In Moldova, only one third of students (11 to 15 years of age) eat fruits daily and one fifth eat fruits once a week or less (6). High socio-economic status and urban residence was positively associated with intake of high-energy foods, such as foods of animal origin, Western style foods and dairy products (15).

In this study, 53.3% of the students eat vegetables irregularly or never. In Italy it was shown that occupation and rural environment were positively associated with the consumption of vegetables in adolescents (13). In Croatia in 2010, the data showed that three quarters of students (11 to 15 years of age) did not eat vegetables every day (4). In Poland, there were no differences in dietary behaviours concerning frequency of vegetable consumption between rural and urban areas (14).

In this study, 84.4% of students regularly ate breakfast in the morning, more in urban areas. In Croatia in 2014, at the age of 15, only 52% of males and 44% of females ate breakfast every day (7). It is worrying that from 2002 until 2010 a significant proportion of children did not even have breakfast at all (4). Over 90% of the 11-ye-

ar-old students of both sexes in the Netherlands and Portugal regularly had breakfast on working days, while the same applies to only 50% of students from Slovenia and Romania at the age of 11 (4). In Moldova, girls skip breakfast during the week more often than boys, and this behaviour worsens with age: 17-year-old students have no breakfast 2.5 times more often than those 11-year-old (6). Eating breakfast is significantly more prevalent among boys and girls from more affluent families (15).

In this research it was established that 64.8% of respondents consumed milk irregularly or never; students from the rural areas consumed much less milk than those from the urban areas. It would be expected that adolescents in rural areas are more likely to consume milk due to better access to food in the countryside, but the results of this research do not confirm this (16,17). In Indonesia, rural students reported higher mean intakes of milk products than urban students (18). The proportions of children who consumed milk were higher in urban families in Canada (19).

In this study it was found that 24.1% of students did not consume non-carbonated drinks, and 40.7% did not consume them daily, with statistically significantly more students with employed parents, who consumed non-carbonated juices. In Croatia in 2014 at the age of 15, one quarter of adolescents consumed non-carbonated drinks daily (7). It was observed that students residing in rural areas had a higher prevalence of occasional consumption of natural fruit non-carbonated drinks (20).

In this study there was a statistically significant difference in the consumption of carbonated drinks relative to the family status. In other research the proportion of students exposed to daily consumption of carbonated drinks was higher among those who reported they lived in urban areas (65.0%) compared to those who reported living in rural areas (55.3%) (20). Health behaviours in almost all countries are associated with family affluence, but the patterns emerging for some behaviours vary by region. Higher rates of daily carbonated drink consumption are associated with lower family affluence among girls and boys in the majority of western and northern countries. By contrast, the consumption of carbonated drinks is associated with high family affluence in Eastern Europe and the Baltic states.
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In Travnik in 2013, adolescents in urban areas consumed two times more often carbonated drinks than adolescents in rural areas (12). In Bangladesh, almost 80% of the tested examinees consumed carbonated drinks rarely or never (17).

According to the results of the research conducted by the Institute for Development of Youth, KULT in 2016, there is no accurate data on the prevalence of obesity among the youth in Sarajevo Canton (Bosnia and Herzegovina). According to the CIA data from 2014, it is stated that B&H is rated the 47th for the occurrence of obesity in adults (a list of 190 countries) (22). The same source states that 19.2% of adults in B&H are obese (22). However, various organizations dealing with the measurements of these health indicators have made warning estimates for the onset of obesity in B&H in the future in all age groups, and suggest that this issue should be dealt with seriously (20).

In Croatia, students with poor economic status had 70% higher chance of low health self-esteem and life dissatisfaction at the age of 11, while at the age of 15 they had 80% higher chance of low self-esteem, and even 140% higher chance of life dissatisfaction (4). The ways in which an individual relates to social networks and communities has important effects on their health and well-being (5). In other studies, dietary patterns of children were associated with family socioeconomic status, practice of food restriction by parents/guardians and location of residence in urban or rural areas. Better socioeconomic conditions contributed to a more nutritionally inadequate dietary pattern (23).

Identifying regional demographics may be useful in tailoring healthy eating programs to the specific school. Selected food behaviours (consumption of vegetables, fruits, carbonated drinks, milk, breakfast) of elementary school students from Ontario and Alberta improved with increasing school socioeconomic status and varied according to rural/urban school localisation (19). The proper lifestyle of a child, including proper eating habits, should be monitored to ensure proper physical and psychological development. This applies particularly to rural areas which are economically, socially and educationally underdeveloped (24). Those responsible for health-related planning could benefit from knowledge of how their state ranks in comparison to others regarding the consumption of fruits and vegetables by rural population, who are increasingly identified as those at risk for health disparities (25).

In conclusion, Bosnia and Herzegovina is a country in transition, and Zenica-Doboj Canton is one of the poorest in the country. Changes in traditional family functioning (lower income, unemployment) can be linked with changed lifestyles (low consumption of fruits and vegetables, low consumption of milk both in rural and urban areas, consumption of carbonated drinks), especially in families in rural areas. It is necessary to work on health promotion, prevention of diseases, promotion of positive values and the importance of the family to reduce the negative effects of the society.
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